arXiv:1205.2997v2 [math.RT] 6 Aug 2012

AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS AT ROOTS OF UNITY

QIANG FU

Abstract. We will classify finite dimensional irreducible modules for affine quantum Schur algebras at roots of unity and generalize [17, (6.5f) and (6.5g)] to the affine case in this paper.

1. Introduction

Finite dimensional irreducible modules for quantum affine algebras $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ were classified by Chari–Pressley when v is not a root of unity in [5, 6, 7]. In the case where v is an odd root of unity, finite dimensional irreducible modules for $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ were classified by Chari–Pressley in [8]. Frenkel–Mukhin [14] generalize Chari–Pressley's result to all roots of unity case.

Finite dimensional polynomial irreducible modules for quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ were classified by Frenkel–Mukhin in [13]. The representation theory of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ is closely related to that of affine quantum Schur algebras. The affine quantum Schur algebra was introduced by Ginzburg–Vasserot and Lusztig (cf. [16, 22]), which uses cyclic flags and convolution. The algebraic definition of affine quantum Schur algebras was given by R. Green in [18]. Varagnolo–Vasserot [25] proved that the two definitions of affine quantum Schur algebras are equivalent.

Let $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ be the quantum affine algebra over $\mathbb{C}(v)$ considered by Lusztig in [22], where v is an indeterminate. Note that the algebra $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ is a proper subalgebra of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Let ζ_r be the natural algebra homomorphism from $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ to the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ over $\mathbb{C}(v)$ (see [22, 7.7]). Let $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v = \zeta_r(U_\vartriangle(n)_v)$. According to [22, 8.2], the equality $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v = \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ holds if and only if $n > r$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the affine quantum Schur algebras over $\mathcal{Z}$ and let $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ be the $\mathcal{Z}$-form of $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$, where $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$. For any $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$, let $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_t = \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_\mathcal{Z} \mathbb{C}$ and $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_t = U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes_\mathcal{Z} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbb{C}$ is regarded as a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to t. Finite dimensional irreducible modules for $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_t$ and $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_t$ were classified in [10] when t is not a root of unity. In this paper, we will classify finite dimensional irreducible modules for the two algebras $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ and $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ in the case where $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ is a primitive l'-th root of 1. Furthermore, we will generalize [17, (6.5f) and (6.5g)] to the affine case.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G05, 17B37, 20G43.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

We organize this paper as follows. We recall the definition of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ and the algebra $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ in §2, where $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{C}$ is a primitive l'-th root of 1. In §3, we will construct a functor $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon:\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}\to\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$, where $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules. Furthermore we will generalize [17, (6.5f)] to the affine case in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. Finally we will classify finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules in Theorem 4.7, and use Proposition 3.5, Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 4.7 to classify finite dimensional irreducible modules for the affine quantum Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ in Theorem 4.8. In addition, we will prove in Proposition 4.13 that the functor $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon:\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}\to\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is an equivalence of categories in the case where $N\geqslant n\geqslant r$. This result is the affine version of [17, (6.5g)].

Throughout, let v be an indeterminate and let $\mathcal{Z}=\mathbb{C}[v,v^{-1}]$. For $c\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $t\in\mathbb{N}$ let

$$[c]_v=\frac{v^c-v^{-c}}{v-v^{-1}},\quad [t]^!_v=\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant t}[i]_v,\quad \begin{bmatrix}c\\t\end{bmatrix}_v=\prod_{s=1}^{t}\frac{v^{c-s+1}-v^{-c+s-1}}{v^s-v^{-s}}\in\mathcal{Z}.$$

Let $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{C}$ be a primitive l'-th root of 1. Let $l\geqslant 1$ be defined by

$$l=\begin{cases} l' & \text{if } l' \text{ is odd,}\\ l'/2 & \text{if } l' \text{ is even.}\end{cases}$$

We make $\mathbb{C}$ into a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to ε. When v is specialized to ε, $[c]_v$, $[t]^!_v$ and $\left[{c\atop t}\right]_v$ specialize to complex numbers $[c]_\varepsilon$, $[t]^!_\varepsilon$ and $\left[{c\atop t}\right]_\varepsilon$ respectively.

2. QUANTUM AFFINE $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ AND AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS

2.1. **The algebras $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ and $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$.** We recall the Drinfeld's new realization of quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ as follows (cf. [13]).

Definition 2.1. The *quantum loop algebra* $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (or *quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$) is the $\mathbb{C}(v)$-algebra generated by $\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s}$ $(1\leqslant i<n,\ s\in\mathbb{Z})$, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}$ and $\mathtt{g}_{i,t}$ $(1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\ t\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$ with the following relations:

(QLA1) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{k}_i^{-1}=1=\mathtt{k}_i^{-1}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i,\mathtt{k}_j]=0,$

(QLA2) $\mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,s}=v^{\pm(\delta_{i,j}-\delta_{i,j+1})}\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,s}\mathtt{k}_i,\ [\mathtt{k}_i,\mathtt{g}_{j,s}]=0,$

(QLA3) $[\mathtt{g}_{i,s},\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t}]=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } i\neq j,\ j+1;\\ \pm v^{-js}\frac{[s]_v}{s}\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,s+t}, & \text{if } i=j;\\ \mp v^{-js}\frac{[s]_v}{s}\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,s+t}, & \text{if } i=j+1,\end{cases}$

(QLA4) $[\mathtt{g}_{i,s},\mathtt{g}_{j,t}]=0,$

(QLA5) $[\mathtt{x}^+_{i,s},\mathtt{x}^-_{j,t}]=\delta_{i,j}\frac{\phi^+_{i,s+t}-\phi^-_{i,s+t}}{v-v^{-1}},$

(QLA6) $\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s}\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t}=\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t}\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s}$, for $|i-j|>1$, and $[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s+1},\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t}]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}}=-[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t+1},\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s}]_{v^{\pm c_{ij}}},$

(QLA7) $[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s},[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t},\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,p}]_v]_v=-[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,p},[\mathtt{x}^\pm_{j,t},\mathtt{x}^\pm_{i,s}]_v]_v$ for $|i-j|=1,$

where $[x,y]_a = xy - ayx$, and $\phi_{i,s}^{\pm}$ are defined via the generating functions in indeterminate u by

$$\Phi_i^{\pm}(u) := \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm 1} \exp\big(\pm(v-v^{-1})\sum_{m\geqslant 1}\mathtt{h}_{i,\pm m}u^{\pm m}\big) = \sum_{s\geqslant 0}\phi_{i,\pm s}^{\pm}u^{\pm s}$$

with $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i = \mathtt{k}_i\mathtt{k}_{i+1}^{-1}$ $(\mathtt{k}_{n+1}=\mathtt{k}_1)$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,\pm m} = v^{\pm(i-1)m}\mathtt{g}_{i,\pm m} - v^{\pm(i+1)m}\mathtt{g}_{i+1,\pm m}$ $(1\leqslant i<n)$.

For $1\leqslant j<n$, let $E_j = \mathtt{x}_{j,0}^{+}$ and $F_j = \mathtt{x}_{j,0}^{-}$ and let

$$E_n = v[\mathtt{x}_{n-1,0}^{-},[\mathtt{x}_{n-2,0}^{-},\cdots,[\mathtt{x}_{2,0}^{-},\mathtt{x}_{1,1}^{-}]_{v^{-1}}\cdots]_{v^{-1}}]_{v^{-1}}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n,$$
$$F_n = v^{-1}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_n^{-1}[\cdots[[\mathtt{x}_{1,-1}^{+},\mathtt{x}_{2,0}^{+}]_v,\mathtt{x}_{3,0}^{+}]_v,\cdots,\mathtt{x}_{n-1,0}^{+}]_v.$$

For $s\geqslant 1$ let $\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm} = sv^{\pm s}\frac{1}{[s]_v}(\mathtt{g}_{1,\pm s}+\cdots+\mathtt{g}_{n,\pm s})$. Then the algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is generated by E_i, F_i, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm}$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\geqslant 1$. Furthermore, the algebra $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ, counit ϵ, and antipode σ defined by

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i\otimes\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i + 1\otimes E_i,\quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i\otimes 1 + \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{-1}\otimes F_i,$$
$$\Delta(\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}) = \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}\otimes\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1},\quad \Delta(\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm}) = \mathsf{z}_s^{\pm}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm};$$
$$\epsilon(E_i) = \epsilon(F_i) = 0 = \epsilon(\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm}),\quad \epsilon(\mathtt{k}_i) = 1;$$
$$\sigma(E_i) = -E_i\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{-1},\quad \sigma(F_i) = -\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_iF_i,\quad \sigma(\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}) = \mathtt{k}_i^{\mp 1},$$
$$\text{and}\ \ \sigma(\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm}) = -\mathsf{z}_s^{\pm},$$

where $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ (cf. [3, 4.7], [19, 2.2] and [10, 2.3.5 and 4.4.1]).

Let $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by all $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,t}$. Then $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is quantum affine $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ (cf. [3]). Let $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ be the subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by all $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm}$, $\mathtt{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\mathtt{h}_{i,t}$. The algebra $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ is the quantum affine algebra considered by Lusztig in [22].

For $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{Q}_i^{\pm}(u) := \exp\bigg(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{[t]_v}g_{i,\pm t}(vu)^{\pm t}\bigg) = \sum_{s\geqslant 0}\mathscr{Q}_{i,\pm s}u^{\pm s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)[[u,u^{-1}]].$$

Similarly, for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, define the elements $\mathscr{P}_{j,s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ through the generating functions

$$\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u) := \exp\bigg(-\sum_{t\geqslant 1}\frac{1}{[t]_v}\mathtt{h}_{j,\pm t}(vu)^{\pm t}\bigg) = \sum_{s\geqslant 0}\mathscr{P}_{j,\pm s}u^{\pm s}\in U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)[[u,u^{-1}]].$$

By definition we have

$$\mathscr{P}_j^{\pm}(u) = \frac{\mathscr{Q}_j^{\pm}(uv^{j-1})}{\mathscr{Q}_{j+1}^{\pm}(uv^{j+1})},$$

for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$. Following [8], let $U_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ be the $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s})^{(m)}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{\pm 1}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i;0\\ t\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\mathscr{P}_{i,s}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $m, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$), where

$$(\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s})^{(m)} = \frac{(\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s})^m}{[m]!} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i;0\\ t\end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \frac{\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i v^{-s+1} - \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

Let $U_{\triangle}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra of $U_{\triangle}(n)_v$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}^{\pm}_{i,s})^{(m)}$, $\mathtt{k}_j^{\pm 1}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathtt{k}_j;0\\ t\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\mathscr{P}_{i,s}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $m, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$), where

$$\begin{bmatrix}\mathtt{k}_j;0\\ t\end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \frac{\mathtt{k}_j v^{-s+1} - \mathtt{k}_j^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}.$$

2.2. **Affine quantum Schur algebras.** We now recall the definition of affine quantum Schur algebras. The extended affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is defined to be the $\mathcal{Z}$-algebra generated by

$$T_i, \quad X_j^{\pm 1} (1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1,\, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r),$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(T_i + 1)(T_i - v^2) = 0,\\
&T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},\;\; T_i T_j = T_j T_i \;(|i-j| > 1),\\
&X_i X_i^{-1} = 1 = X_i^{-1} X_i,\;\; X_i X_j = X_j X_i,\\
&T_i X_i T_i = v^2 X_{i+1},\;\; X_j T_i = T_i X_j \;(j \neq i, i+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_r$ be the symmetric group with generators $s_i := (i, i+1)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. Let $I(n,r) = \{(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid 1 \leqslant i_k \leqslant n, \forall k\}$. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ acts on the set $I(n,r)$ by place permutation:

$$\mathbf{i}w = (i_{w(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \qquad \text{for } \mathbf{i} \in I(n,r) \text{ and } w \in \mathfrak{S}_r.$$

Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the free $\mathcal{Z}$-module with basis $\{\omega_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, write

$$\omega_{\mathbf{i}} = \omega_{i_1} \otimes \omega_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \omega_{i_r} = \omega_{i_1} \omega_{i_2} \cdots \omega_{i_r} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\otimes r}.$$

The tensor space $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\otimes r}$ admits a right $\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$-module structure defined by

$$\begin{cases}
\omega_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot X_t^{-1} = \omega_{i_1} \cdots \omega_{i_{t-1}} \omega_{i_t + n} \omega_{i_{t+1}} \cdots \omega_{i_r}, & \text{for all } \mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^r;\\
\omega_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot T_k = \begin{cases} v^2 \omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_k = i_{k+1};\\ v \omega_{\mathbf{i} s_k}, & \text{if } i_k < i_{k+1};\\ v \omega_{\mathbf{i} s_k} + (v^2 - 1)\omega_{\mathbf{i}}, & \text{if } i_{k+1} < i_k, \end{cases} & \text{for all } \mathbf{i} \in I(n,r),
\end{cases}$$

where $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$ and $1 \leqslant t \leqslant r$ (cf. [25]). The algebra

$$\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} := \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{\triangle}(r)_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}^{\otimes r})$$

is called an affine quantum Schur algebra.

Let $\Omega_v=\Omega_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C(v)$, $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_v=\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C(v)$ and $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_v=\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C(v)$. The right action of $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_{\mathcal Z}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal Z}^{\otimes r}$ extends to a right action of $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_v$ on $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$. Then we have the $\mathbb C(v)$-algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_v\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_v}(\Omega_v^{\otimes r}).$$

Let $\varepsilon\in\mathbb C$ be a primitive l'-th root of 1. We make $\mathbb C$ into a $\mathcal Z$-module by specializing v to ε. Let $\Omega_\varepsilon=\Omega_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C$, $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_\varepsilon=\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C$ and $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon=\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C$. The right action of $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_{\mathcal Z}$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal Z}^{\otimes r}$ induces a right action of $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_\varepsilon$ on $\Omega_\varepsilon^{\otimes r}$. Then we have the $\mathbb C$-algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\cong\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_\varepsilon}(\Omega_\varepsilon^{\otimes r}).$$

2.3. **The algebras $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ and $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$.** The algebras $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ can be related by a surjective algebra homomorphism ζ_r, which we now describe. The vector space Ω_v is a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module with the action

$$E_i\cdot\omega_s=\delta_{\overline{i+1},\bar s}\omega_{s-1},\quad F_i\cdot\omega_s=\delta_{\bar i,\bar s}\omega_{s+1},\quad \mathtt{k}_i^{\pm1}\cdot\omega_s=v^{\pm\delta_{\bar i,\bar s}}\omega_s,$$
$$\mathtt{z}_t^+\cdot\omega_s=\omega_{s-tn},\quad\text{and}\quad \mathtt{z}_t^-\cdot\omega_s=\omega_{s+tn},$$

where $\bar i$ denotes the corresponding integer modulo n. The Hopf algebra structure of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ induces a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module $\Omega_{\mathbb C}^{\otimes r}$. According to [10, 3.5.5 and 4.4.1], the actions of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal H_\vartriangle(r)_v$ on $\Omega_v^{\otimes r}$ are commute. Consequently, there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_r:U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\to\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_v \tag{2.1}$$

It is proved in [10, 3.8.1] that ζ_r is surjective. Every $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module will be inflated into a $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-module via ζ_r.

Let $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v=\zeta_r(U_\vartriangle(n)_v)$, $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}=\zeta_r(U_\vartriangle(n)_{\mathcal Z})$, $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon=U_\vartriangle(n)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C$ and $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon=U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C$. According to [22, 8.2] the equality $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}=\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}$ holds if and only if $n>r$. The intersection cohomology basis for $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}$ was constructed in [loc. cit.] and the presentation of $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$ was obtained in [11, 23]. By restriction, the map ζ_r induces a surjective map $\zeta_r:U_\vartriangle(n)_{\mathcal Z}\to U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}$. Thus, base change induces a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\zeta_{r,\varepsilon}:=\zeta_r\otimes1:U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon\to U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

Every $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module will be inflated into a $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$-module via $\zeta_{r,\varepsilon}$. Let

$$U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)=U_{\mathcal Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)\otimes_{\mathcal Z}\mathbb C.$$

Then by [12, 9.3] we have

$$U_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}=\zeta_r(U_{\mathcal Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n))\text{ and }U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon=\zeta_{r,\varepsilon}(U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)). \tag{2.3}$$

If x is any element of $U_{\mathcal Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (resp. $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_{\mathcal Z}$), we denote the corresponding element of $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ (resp. $\mathcal S_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$) also by x.

3. The functor G_ε

For any algebra B, the category of all finite dimensional left B-modules will be denoted by B-mod. In this section we will construct a functor $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \to \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ and generalize [17, 6.5(f)] to the affine case in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, which will be used in §4.

3.1. **The functors G and G_ε.** For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathfrak{k}_i = \zeta_r(\mathtt{k}_i)$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathfrak{k}_i;0\\ t\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \zeta_r(\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathtt{k}_i;0\\ t\end{smallmatrix}\right])$. Let $\Lambda(n,r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \lambda_i = r\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$ let $\mathfrak{l}_\lambda = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathfrak{k}_1;0\\ \lambda_1\end{smallmatrix}\right] \cdots \left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathfrak{k}_n;0\\ \lambda_n\end{smallmatrix}\right]$. According to [10, 3.7.4] we have

$$1 = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{l}_\lambda \mathfrak{l}_\mu = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}\mathfrak{l}_\lambda, \quad \mathfrak{k}_i = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,r)} v^{\lambda_i}\mathfrak{l}_\lambda. \tag{3.1}$$

Assume $N \geqslant n$. For $\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$ let

$$\widetilde{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \Lambda(N,r).$$

Let

$$e = \sum_{\mu\in\Lambda(n,r)} \mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\mu}} \in \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\mathcal{Z}.$$

Then $e\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_v e \cong \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$. Consequently, we may identify $e\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_v e$-$\mathsf{mod}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-$\mathsf{mod}$. With this identification, we define a functor

$$\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{G}_{N,n} : \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_v\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_v\text{-}\mathsf{mod}, \qquad V \longmapsto eV.$$

Similarly, we define the functor $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon : \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ as follows. We shall denote the image of e in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon$ by the same letter. Since $e\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon e \cong \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$, we may identify $e\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon e$-$\mathsf{mod}$ with $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-$\mathsf{mod}$. Therefore, we may define a functor

$$\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon = \mathsf{G}_{N,n,\varepsilon} : \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}, \qquad V \longmapsto eV.$$

3.2. **Properties of the functor G.** We now recall a result about G established in [15]. Let Π_v be the set of polynomials $Q(u) \in \mathbb{C}(v)[u]$ such that the constant term of $Q(u)$ is 1. Following [13], an n-tuple of polynomials $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1(u), \ldots, Q_n(u))$ with $Q_i(u) \in \Pi_v$ is called *dominant* if $Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u) \in \mathbb{C}(v)[u]$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Let $\mathscr{Q}(n)_v$ be the set of dominant n-tuples of polynomials. Let

$$\mathscr{Q}(n) = \{\mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{Q}(n)_v \mid Q_n(uv^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[u],\, Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u) \in \mathbb{C}[u], \text{ for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\}.$$

For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{Q}(n)$ let $\deg \mathbf{Q} = (\deg Q_1(u), \cdots, \deg Q_n(u))$.

For $g(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m}(1 - a_i u) \in \mathbb{C}(v)[u]$ with constant term 1 and $a_i \in \mathbb{C}(v)^*$, define

$$g^{\pm}(u) = \prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant m} (1 - a_i^{\pm 1} u^{\pm 1}). \tag{3.2}$$

For $\mathbf{Q}=(Q_1(u),\ldots,Q_n(u))\in\mathcal{Q}(n)$, define $Q_{i,s}\in\mathbb{C}$, for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, by the following formula

$$Q_i^{\pm}(u)=\sum_{s\geqslant 0}Q_{i,\pm s}u^{\pm s},$$

where $Q_i^{\pm}(u)$ is defined using (3.2). Let $I(\mathbf{Q})$ be the left ideal of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $\mathtt{x}_{j,s}^{+}$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}-Q_{i,s}$, and $\mathtt{k}_i-v^{\lambda_i}$, for $1\leqslant j\leqslant n-1$, $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$ and $s\in\mathbb{Z}$, where $\lambda_i=\mathrm{deg}Q_i(u)$, and define

$$M(\mathbf{Q})=U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)/I(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then $M(\mathbf{Q})$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by $L(\mathbf{Q})$. By [13, 4.3], $L(\mathbf{Q})$ is finite dimensional. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(n,r)=\Big\{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n)\mid\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\deg Q_i(u)=r\Big\}.$$

Then by [10, 4.6.8] $L(\mathbf{Q})$ can be regarded as an irreducible module $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_v$ via the map ζ_r given in (2.1) for $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$.

Assume $N\geqslant n$. We define an injective map $\mathbf{Q}\mapsto\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ of $\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ into $\mathcal{Q}(N,r)$ as follows. For $\mathbf{Q}=(Q_1(u),\cdots,Q_n(u))\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ we define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}=(Q_1(u),\cdots,Q_n(u),1,\cdots,1)\in\mathcal{Q}(N,r).\tag{3.3}$$

Then the image of $\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ under this map is the set

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(n,r)=\{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mid\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)\}.$$

The following result is established in [15, 4.11].

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $N\geqslant n$. Then $\mathsf{G}(L(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}))\cong L(\mathbf{Q})$ for $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$. Furthermore, for $\mathbf{Q}'\in\mathcal{Q}(N,r)$, $\mathsf{G}(L(\mathbf{Q}'))\neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{Q}'\in\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(n,r)$.*

3.3. **The irreducible $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\varepsilon}$-module $V_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Q})$.** For each $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ we will use $L(\mathbf{Q})$ to construct an irreducible $\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\varepsilon}$-module $V_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Q})$ as below. For $\lambda,\mu\in\Lambda(n,r)$ write $\mu\trianglelefteq\lambda$ if $\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant i}\mu_j\leqslant\sum_{1\leqslant j\leqslant i}\lambda_j$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$. By (3.1) for $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ we have

$$L(\mathbf{Q})=\bigoplus_{\substack{\mu\in\Lambda(n,r)\\ \mu\trianglelefteq\lambda}}L(\mathbf{Q})_{\mu}$$

where $L(\mathbf{Q})_{\mu}=\mathfrak{l}_{\mu}L(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\lambda=\deg\mathbf{Q}$. Clearly, $\dim L(\mathbf{Q})_{\lambda}=1$. We choose a nonzero vector $w_{\mathbf{Q}}$ in $L(\mathbf{Q})_{\lambda}$ and let $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})=\mathcal{S}_{\triangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}w_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Then $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})=\oplus_{\mu\in\Lambda(n,r)}L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})_{\mu}$, where $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})_{\mu}=\mathfrak{l}_{\mu}L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume $N\geqslant n$. Then $\mathsf{G}(L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}))\cong L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$ for $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1 there exist an $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_v$-algebra isomorphism $f : eL(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \to L(\mathbf{Q})$. Let $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q}$. By (3.1) we have $f(eL(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})_{\widetilde{\lambda}}) = f(\mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\lambda}} eL(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) = \mathfrak{l}_\lambda f(eL(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) = L(\mathbf{Q})_\lambda$. This, together with the fact that $\dim L(\mathbf{Q})_\lambda = \dim L(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})_{\widetilde{\lambda}} = 1$, implies that there exist $k \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}(v)$ such that $f(ew_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}}) = kw_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Furthermore we have $ew_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = e\mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\lambda}} w_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}} = w_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}}$ since $w_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}} \in L(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})_{\widetilde{\lambda}}$. Consequently, $\mathsf{G}(L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong f(eL_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) = f(e\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(N,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} ew_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}}) = \mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} f(w_{\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}}) = \mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}(kw_{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$. □

Lemma 3.3. *For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$, $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module.*

Proof. By the proof of [8, 8.2] and [14, 2.5] we see that $U_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)w_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module. If $n > r$ then $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} = U_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ by [22, 8.2]. This shows that $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}) = U_{\vartriangle}(n)_{\mathcal{Z}} w_{\mathbf{Q}} = U_{\mathcal{Z}}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)w_{\mathbf{Q}} = U_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)w_{\mathbf{Q}}$, where $U_{\mathcal{Z}}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ is the $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^-)^{(m)}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$). Thus $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module. Now we assume $n \leqslant r$. We choose N such that $N > r$. Since $N > r$, $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module. This implies that $\mathsf{G}(L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-submodule of $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})$ since $\mathcal{Z}$ is a principal ideal domain. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module. □

Let $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) = L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Then $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\mu$, where $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\mu = \mathfrak{l}_\mu L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$.

Corollary 3.4. *For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ and $\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} L(\mathbf{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} L(\mathbf{Q})_\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\mu$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we have $L(\mathbf{Q}) \cong L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}(v)$ and $L(\mathbf{Q})_\mu \cong L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})_\mu \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}(v)$. This implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} L(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{Z}} L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} L(\mathbf{Q})_\mu = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{Z}} L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q})_\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\mu$. □

Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$. According to Corollary 3.4, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} L(\mathbf{Q})_\lambda = 1$, where $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q}$. Thus $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ has a unique finite dimensional irreducible quotient $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module, denoted by $V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$.

3.4. **Properties of the functor G_ε.**

We are now ready to prove in Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 that the functor G_ε enjoys similar properties as the functor G. These results is the affine version of [17, (6.5f)].

Proposition 3.5. *Assume $N \geqslant n$. For $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(N,r)$, $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})) \neq 0$ if and only if $\deg \mathbf{Q} \in \widetilde{\Lambda}(n,r)$, where $\widetilde{\Lambda}(n,r) = \{\widetilde{\mu} = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n, 0, \cdots, 0) \mid \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)\} \subseteq \Lambda(N,r)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(N,r)$ and $\lambda = \deg \mathbf{Q}$. If $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Lambda}(n,r)$, then $e\mathfrak{l}_\lambda V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathfrak{l}_\lambda V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$, and hence $eV_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$. Now we assume $eV_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$. Since $1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(N,r)} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha$,

$$eV_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) = e \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda(N,r)} \mathfrak{l}_\alpha V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda(n,r)} \mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\alpha}} V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}).$$

This together with the fact that $eV_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$ implies that there exists $\alpha \in \Lambda(n,r)$ such that $\mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\alpha}} V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$. Since $\mathfrak{l}_{\widetilde{\alpha}} V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) \neq 0$, we have $\widetilde{\alpha} \trianglelefteq \lambda$ and, hence, $r = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \alpha_i \leqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \lambda_i \leqslant r$. Therefore, $\lambda \in \widetilde{\Lambda}(n,r)$, as desired. $\square$

Proposition 3.6. *Assume $N \geqslant n$, and let $\mathbf{Q} \mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the injective map from $\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ into $\mathcal{Q}(N,r)$ given in* (3.3). *Then $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ and $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$. In particular we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})_\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})_\mu$ for $\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$. Since $L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \cong (eL_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}) \bigoplus ((1-e)L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C})$, we conclude that $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong \mathsf{G}(L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong L_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathbf{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C} = L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$. This together with the fact that $V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})$ is the homomorphic image of $L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})$, implies that $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is the homomorphic image of $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$. Furthermore by Proposition 3.5 and [17, 6.2(b)] we conclude that $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}))$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module. Therefore, $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}})) \cong V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$, since $V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ is the unique irreducible quotient $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module of $L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$. $\square$

We end this section with an application of the above results. Let S be an associative algebra over a field k and let $f \neq 0$ be any idempotent in S. If L is a finite dimensional irreducible S-module, then by [17, 6.2(b)], fL is either zero or is an irreducible fSf-module. If $fL \neq 0$, then by [17, 6.6(b)], we have

$$[V : L] = [fV : fL] \tag{3.4}$$

for any finite dimensional S-module V, where $[V : L]$ is the multiplicity of L as a composition factor of V. Combining Proposition 3.1, Propostion 3.6 with (3.4) yields the following result.

Corollary 3.7. *Assume $N \geqslant n$, and let $\mathbf{Q} \mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the injective map from $\mathcal{Q}(n,r)$ into $\mathcal{Q}(N,r)$ given in* (3.3). *Then*

(1) $[L_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}}) : V_\varepsilon(\widetilde{\mathbf{Q}'})] = [L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) : V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}')]$ for $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}' \in \mathcal{Q}(n,r)$.

(2) $[L_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}) : V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}')] = 0$ for $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}(N,r) \setminus \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(n,r)$ and $\mathbf{Q}' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}(n,r)$.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF IRREDUCIBLE MODULES FOR $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ AND $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$

Finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules were classified by Chari–Pressley [8] in the case where ε is a root of unity of odd order. Frenkel–Mukhin [14] extend Chari–Pressley's result to all roots of unity. We will use these results to classify finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules in Theorem 4.7. Furthermore, we will use Proposition 3.5, Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 4.7 to classify finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules in Theorem 4.8. Finally we will use Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 4.8 to generalize [17, (6.5g)] to the affine case in Proposition 4.13.

4.1. **A simple lemma.** We need some preparation. First we will generalize [21, 3.3] to all roots of unity in Corollary 4.3.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $m = m_0 + lm_1$, $0 \leqslant m_0 \leqslant l-1$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{l(t_1 l - t_1 m_0 - t_1 l m_1 - t_0 m_1)} \begin{bmatrix} m_0 \\ t_0 \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \binom{m_1}{t_1}$$

for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant m$, *where* $t = t_0 + lt_1$ *with* $0 \leqslant t_0 \leqslant l-1$ *and* $t_1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let X be an indeterminate. By the proof of [21, 3.2] we have

$$\prod_{j=0}^{m-1}(1+v^{2j}X) = \sum_{t=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}_v v^{t(m-1)} X^t \in \mathcal{Z}[X]. \tag{4.1}$$

This gives

$$\prod_{j=m_0+sl}^{m_0+(s+1)l-1} (1+\varepsilon^{2j}X) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1}(1+\varepsilon^{2j}X) = 1 + \varepsilon^{l(l-1)}X^l$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$\prod_{j=m_0}^{m-1}(1+\varepsilon^{2j}X) = \prod_{s=0}^{m_1-1}\Bigg(\prod_{j=m_0+sl}^{m_0+(s+1)l-1}(1+\varepsilon^{2j}X)\Bigg) = (1+\varepsilon^{l(l-1)}X^l)^{m_1}.$$

This together with (4.1) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=0}^{m} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ t \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{t(m-1)} X^t &= (1+\varepsilon^{l(l-1)}X^l)^{m_1} \prod_{j=0}^{m_0-1}(1+\varepsilon^{2j}X) \\ &= \sum_{t_1=0}^{m_1} \binom{m_1}{t_1} (\varepsilon^{l(l-1)}X^l)^{t_1} \sum_{t_0=0}^{m_0} \begin{bmatrix} m_0 \\ t_0 \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{t_0(m_0-1)} X^{t_0} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0\leqslant t\leqslant m,\, t=t_0+lt_1 \\ 0\leqslant t_0 \leqslant l-1,\, t_1\in\mathbb{N}}} \begin{bmatrix} m_0 \\ t_0 \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \binom{m_1}{t_1} \varepsilon^{t_0(m_0-1)+t_1 l(l-1)} X^t.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of X^t in the above equality, we obtain the desired formula. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let* $m = m_0 + lm_1$, $0 \leqslant m_0 \leqslant l-1$, $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. *Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if } l' \text{ is odd} \\ (-1)^{l+m} m_1 & \text{if } l' \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the case where l' is odd, the assertion follows from [21, 3.3(a)]. Now we assume l' is even. Then $l' = 2l$. Since ε is a primitive l'-th root of unity, we conclude that $\varepsilon^l = -1$. If $m_1 \geqslant 1$, then by Lemma 4.1, we have $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon = m_1 \varepsilon^{l(l-m)} = (-1)^{l+m} m_1$. If $m_1 = 0$ then $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right] = 0$, and hence $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon = 0$. If $m_1 < 0$, then $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} m \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon = (-1)^l \left[\begin{smallmatrix} -m+l-1 \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon = (-1)^{l+1}\varepsilon^{l(m+1)} m_1 = (-1)^{l+m} m_1$. □

Corollary 4.3. *If $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy $\varepsilon^m = \varepsilon^{m'}$, $\left[{m \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon = \left[{m' \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon$, then $m = m'$.*

Proof. In the case where l' is odd, the assertion follows from [21, 3.3(b)]. Now we assume l' is even. Then $l' = 2l$. Since $\varepsilon^m = \varepsilon^{m'}$, we have $m \equiv m' (\bmod l')$. Thus we may write $m = a + sl'$ and $m' = a + tl'$, where $0 \leqslant a < l'$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Corollary 4.2 we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ l \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l+m} 2s & \text{if } 0 \leqslant a < l \\ (-1)^{l+m}(2s+1) & \text{if } l \leqslant a < l' \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} m' \\ l \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l+m'} 2t & \text{if } 0 \leqslant a < l \\ (-1)^{l+m'}(2t+1) & \text{if } l \leqslant a < l' \end{cases}$$

Since $m - m' = (s-t)l'$ is even we have $(-1)^{l+m} = (-1)^{l+m'}$. This together with the fact that $\left[{m \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon = \left[{m' \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon$ implies that $s = t$. Consequently, $m = m'$, as desired. □

4.2. **Finite dimensional $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules.** We now review the classification theorem of finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules. Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ be the set of $(n-1)$-tuple polynomials $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \cdots, P_{n-1}(u))$ such that $P_i(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ and the constant term of $P_i(u)$ is 1 for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. For $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, define $P_{j,s} \in \mathbb{C}$, for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, as in $P_j^\pm(u) = \sum_{s \geqslant 0} P_{j,\pm s} u^{\pm s}$, where $P_j^\pm(u)$ is defined using (3.2).

For $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ let $\bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$ be the left ideal of $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}_{j,s}^+)^{(m)}$, $\mathscr{P}_{j,s} - P_{j,s}$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j - \varepsilon^{\mu_j}$ and $\left[{\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_j;0 \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon - \left[{\mu_j \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_j = \deg P_j(u)$, and define

$$\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}) = U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)/\bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}).$$

Then $\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$ has a unique irreducible quotient, denoted by $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$.

The following classification theorem of finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules is given in [8, 8.2] and [14, 2.4].

Theorem 4.4. *The modules $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$ with $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ are all nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-modules of type 1.*

4.3. **Classification of finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.** Now we are ready to classify finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules. Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$ be such that $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \deg P_i(u)$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Define

$$\bar{M}(\mathbf{P}, \lambda) = U_\vartriangle(n)_v/\bar{I}(\mathbf{P}, \lambda),$$

where $\bar{I}(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$ is the left ideal of $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$ generated by $\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^+$, $\mathscr{P}_{i,s} - P_{i,s}$ and $\mathtt{k}_j - v^{\lambda_j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. The $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$-module $\bar{M}(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $U_\vartriangle(n)_v$-module, which is denoted by $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$. Similarly, we define

$$\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}, \lambda) = U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon/\bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}, \lambda),$$

where $\bar{I}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$ is the left ideal of $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^+)^{(m)}$, $\mathscr{P}_{i,s} - P_{i,s}$, $\mathtt{k}_j - \varepsilon^{\lambda_j}$ and $\left[{\mathtt{k}_j;0 \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon - \left[{\lambda_j \atop l}\right]_\varepsilon$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$. The $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$-module $\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$-module, which is denoted by $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P}, \lambda)$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $\mathbf{P} \in \mathscr{P}(n)$ *and* $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$ *be such that* $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \deg P_i(u)$, *for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. *Then* $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)|_{U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$ *and* $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ *is a* $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$*-module via the map* $\zeta_{r,\varepsilon}$ *given in* (2.2).

Proof. By [10, 4.7.5], $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ can be regarded as a $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_v$-module via the map ζ_r given in (2.1). For $\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$ let $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu = \mathfrak{l}_\mu \bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$. We choose a nonzero vector w_0 in $\bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\lambda$. Let $\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda) = U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z} w_0$ and $\bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda) = \bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda) \otimes_\mathcal{Z} \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda \\ \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)}} \bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu \text{ and } \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda \\ \mu \in \Lambda(n,r)}} \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu$$

where $\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu = \mathfrak{l}_\mu \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ and $\bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu = \mathfrak{l}_\mu \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$.

By the proof of [8, 8.2] and [14, 2.5] we see that $U_\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) w_0$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module. This implies that $\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module, since $\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda) = U_\vartriangle(n)_\mathcal{Z} w_0 = U_\mathcal{Z}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) w_0 = U_\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n) w_0$. It follows that $\bar{L}_\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu$ is a free $\mathcal{Z}$-module and hence $\dim_\mathbb{C} \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} \bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\mu$ for $\mu \in \Lambda(n,r)$. In particular, $\dim_\mathbb{C} \bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(v)} \bar{L}(\mathbf{P},\lambda)_\lambda = 1$. Therefore, $\bar{L}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module, denoted by $\bar{V}'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$. Since $\bar{V}'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ we conclude that $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda) \cong \bar{V}'_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ is a $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-module. It follows from (2.3) that $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)|_{U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)}$ is irreducible, and hence $\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)|_{U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)} \cong \bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let* V *be a finite dimensional irreducible* $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$*-module. Then there exist* $\mathbf{P} = (P_1(u), \ldots, P_{n-1}(u)) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ *and* $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$ *with* $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \deg P_i(u)$, *for all* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, *such that* $V \cong \bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$.

Proof. According to (2.3), we see that V is an irreducible $U_\varepsilon(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$-module. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that there exist $\mathbf{P} \in \mathscr{P}(n)$ such that $V \cong \bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P})$. Thus there exist $w_0 \neq 0 \in V$ such that

$$(\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^+)^{(m)} w_0 = 0, \mathscr{P}_{i,s} w_0 = P_{i,s} w_0, \ \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i w_0 = \varepsilon^{\mu_i} w_0, \ \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i; 0 \\ l \end{bmatrix} w_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ l \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon w_0,$$

for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_i = \deg P_i(u)$. Since $\sum_{\nu \in \Lambda(n,r)} \mathfrak{l}_\nu w_0 = w_0 \neq 0$, there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda(n,r)$ such that $\mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 \neq 0$.

Clearly, we have

$$(\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^+)^{(m)} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = 0, \mathscr{P}_{i,s} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = P_{i,s} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0, \ \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = \varepsilon^{\mu_i} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0, \ \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i; 0 \\ l \end{bmatrix} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ l \end{bmatrix}_\varepsilon \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0.$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) we have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_i \mathfrak{l}_\lambda = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_i;0 \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right] \mathfrak{l}_\lambda = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon \mathfrak{l}_\lambda$, where $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_i = \zeta_{r,\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i)$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}_i;0 \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \zeta_{r,\varepsilon}(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i;0 \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right])$. This implies that $\widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = \varepsilon^{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}} \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \widetilde{\mathtt{k}}_i;0 \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ l \end{smallmatrix}\right]_\varepsilon \mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0$. So by Corollary 4.3 we have $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} = \mu_i$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. This shows that $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,r)$. Clearly, there is a surjective $U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$-module homomorphism $\varphi : \bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda) \to V$ defined by sending $\bar{u}$ to $u\mathfrak{l}_\lambda w_0$, for all $u \in U_\vartriangle(n)_\varepsilon$. Therefore, $V \cong \bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$, as desired. □

According to Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 we obtain the following classification theorem of finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.

Theorem 4.7. *The set*

$$\{\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)\mid \mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{P}(n),\ \lambda\in\Lambda^+(n,r),\ \lambda_i-\lambda_{i+1}=\deg P_i(u)\,for\,1\leqslant i\leqslant n-1\}$$

is a complete set of nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $U_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.

4.4. **Classification of finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.** Combining Proposition 3.5, Proposition 3.6 with Theorem 4.7 yields the following classification theorem of finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.

Theorem 4.8. *The set*

$$\{V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})\mid \mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)\}$$

is a complete set of nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules.

Proof. We choose N such that $N>\max\{n,r\}$. Since $N>r$, by [22, 8.2] we have $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon=U_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon$. It follows that $V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ is a homomorphic image of $\bar{M}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$ for $\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(N,r)$, where $P_i(u)=Q_i(v^{i-1}u)/Q_{i+1}(v^{i+1}u)$ for $1\leqslant i\leqslant N-1$ and $\lambda=\deg\mathbf{Q}$. This implies that

$$V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})\cong\bar{V}_\varepsilon(\mathbf{P},\lambda)$$

as an $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon$-module. Thus by Theorem 4.7 the set

$$\{V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})\mid \mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(N,r)\}$$

is a complete set of nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon$-modules. This together with [17, 6.2(g)] implies that the set $\{\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon(V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}))\neq 0\mid \mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(N,r)\}$ forms a complete set of nonisomorphic irreducible $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$-modules. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. □

Remarks 4.9. (1) If $\varepsilon=1$, then $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon$ is the affine Schur algebra over $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, we obtain a classification theorem for affine Schur algebras over $\mathbb{C}$ by Theorem 4.8.

(2) Assume $n\geqslant r$. Let $\varpi=(\varpi_1,\cdots,\varpi_n)\in\Lambda(n,r)$, where $\varpi_i=1$ if $1\leqslant i\leqslant r$, and $\varpi_i=0$ otherwise. Then $\mathfrak{l}_\varpi\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\mathfrak{l}_\varpi\cong\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\varepsilon$. According to Theorem 4.8 and [17, 6.2(g)], the set

$$\{\mathfrak{l}_\varpi V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})\neq 0\mid \mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{Q}(n,r)\}$$

forms a complete set of nonisomorphic finite dimensional irreducible $\mathcal{H}_\vartriangle(r)_\varepsilon$-modules. It would be interesting to determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathfrak{l}_\varpi V_\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q})$ to be nonzero.

In the non root of unity case, finite dimensional irreducible modules for affine Hecke algebras of type A were classified in terms of multisegments (see [4, 28, 24]). Finite dimensional irreducible modules for affine Hecke algebras of type A at roots of unity were classified in terms of the aperiodic multisegments (see [9, 1, 2]). The classification of irreducible modules for affine Hecke algebras in all types were investigated in [20, 26, 27]. It should be interesting to determine the aperiodic multisegments corresponding to $\mathfrak{l}_{\varpi}V_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Q})$ when $\mathfrak{l}_{\varpi}V_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{Q})$ is nonzero.

(3) Following [13, 7.2], let $U_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the $\mathcal{Z}$-subalgebra of $U_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $(\mathtt{x}_{i,s}^{\pm})^{(m)}$, $\mathtt{k}_j^{\pm 1}$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mathtt{k}_j;0\\ t\end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\mathscr{Q}_{i,s}$ ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, $m, t \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$). Let $U_{\varepsilon}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = U_{\mathcal{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{C}$.

Let Π be the set of polynomials $Q(u) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ such that the constant term of $Q(u)$ is 1. Let $\mathcal{Q}(n)_{\varepsilon}$ be the set of n-tuple of polynomials $(Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u))$ such that $Q_i(u) \in \Pi$ and $Q_j(u)/Q_j(u\varepsilon^2) \in \mathbb{C}[u]$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. According to [13, 8.2], finite dimensional polynomial irreducible $U_{\varepsilon}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$-modules are indexed by the set $\mathcal{Q}(n)_{\varepsilon}$. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}(n,r)_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ (Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u)) \in \mathcal{Q}(n)_{\varepsilon} \;\Big|\; \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \deg Q_i(u) = r \right\}.$$

Then the natural map $\gamma : \mathcal{Q}(n,r) \to \mathcal{Q}(n,r)_{\varepsilon}$ defined by sending $(Q_1(u), \cdots, Q_n(u))$ to $(Q_1(u)|_{v=\varepsilon}, \cdots, Q_n(u)|_{v=\varepsilon})$ is bijective. Therefore, polynomial representation of $U_{\varepsilon}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ should be closely related to representation of $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\varepsilon}$.

4.5. **Morita equivalences of affine quantum Schur algebras.** We are now ready to establish Morita equivalences between affine quantum Schur algebras. Before proving Proposition 4.13 we shall need some preliminary lemmas.

Let S be an associative algebra over a field k and let $f \neq 0$ be any idempotent in S. We define the functors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} : S\text{-mod} \longrightarrow fSf\text{-mod}, \qquad V \longmapsto fV,$$
$$\mathcal{H} : fSf\text{-mod} \longrightarrow S\text{-mod}, \qquad W \longmapsto Sf \otimes_{fSf} W.$$

Lemma 4.10. *Assume $\mathcal{F}(L) \neq 0$ for all finite dimensional irreducible S-module L. Then $SfV = V$ for $V \in S$-mod.*

Proof. Let V be a finite dimensional S-module and let $0 = V_t \subseteq V_{t-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_0 = V$ be the composition series of V. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$, there is a natural S-module homomorphism $\varphi_i : SfV_i/SfV_{i+1} \to V_i/V_{i+1}$ defined by sending $\bar{x}$ to $\bar{x}$ for $\bar{x} \in SfV_i/SfV_{i+1}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(V_i/V_{i+1}) \neq 0$ we have $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_i) \neq 0$. It follows that φ_i is surjective since V_i/V_{i+1} is irreducible. This implies that $\dim SfV = \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1} \dim(SfV_i/SfV_{i+1}) \geqslant \sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1} \dim(V_i/V_{i+1}) = \dim V$. Consequently, we conclude that $SfV = V$. □

Lemma 4.11. *Assume $\mathcal{F}(L) \neq 0$ for all finite dimensional irreducible S-module L. Then for each $V \in S$-mod, the S-module homomorphism $\alpha_V : \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{F}(V) \to V$ defined by sending $x \otimes fw$ to xfw is an isomorphism for $x \in Sf$ and $w \in V$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\ell(V)$, where $\ell(V)$ is the length of V. According to [17, (6.2f)] we see that α_V is an isomorphism in the case where V is irreducible. Assume now that $\ell(V) > 1$.

Using Lemma 4.10 we see that α_V is surjective. Thus it is enough to prove that $\dim(\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(V)) = \dim V$. Let M be a maximal S-submodule of V. Then α_M is an isomorphism by induction. Let ι be the inclusion map from M to V. Since $\iota\circ\alpha_M = \alpha_V\circ(\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(\iota))$ and α_M is injective we conclude that the map $\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(\iota)$ is injective. Thus we may regard $\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(M)$ as a submodule of $\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(V)$. As V/M is irreducible, we see that $\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(V)/\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(M)\cong\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(V/M)\cong V/M$. This together with the fact that $\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(M)\cong M$ shows that $\dim(\mathcal{H}\circ\mathcal{F}(V)) = \dim V$. The assertion follows. □

Corollary 4.12. *Assume $\mathcal{F}(L)\neq 0$ for all finite dimensional irreducible S-module L. Then the functor $\mathcal{F}: S\text{-}\mathsf{mod}\to fSf\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. According to [17, 6.2d] we see that $\mathcal{F}\circ\mathcal{H}\cong 1_{fSf\text{-}\mathsf{mod}}$ where $1_{fSf\text{-}\mathsf{mod}}$ is the identity functor on fSf-mod. Now the assertion follows from Lemma 4.11. □

Combining Proposition 3.5, Theorem 4.8 with Corollary 4.12 yields the following Morita equivalences of affine quantum Schur algebras, which is the affine version of [17, (6.5g)].

Proposition 4.13. *Assume $N\geqslant n\geqslant r$. Then the functor $\mathsf{G}_\varepsilon: \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(N,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}\to\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\varepsilon\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

References

[1] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of $G(m,1,n)$*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 789–808.

[2] S. Ariki and A. Mathas, *The number of simple modules of the Hecke algebras of type $G(r,1,n)$*. Math. Z. **233** (2000), 601–623.

[3] J. Beck, *Braid group action and quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), 655–568.

[4] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups*, I. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 441–472.

[5] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 261–283.

[6] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[7] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras and their representations*, Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994), 59–78, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[8] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *Quantum affine algebras at roots of unity*, Represet. Theory **1** (1997), 280–328.

[9] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.

[10] B.B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (to appear), arXiv:1010.4619.

[11] S. Doty and R. M. Green, *Presenting affine q-Schur algebras*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), 311–345.

[12] J. Du, Q. Fu and J.-P. Wang, *Representations of little q-Schur algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 257 (2012), no. 2, 343–378.

[13] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *The Hopf algebra* Rep $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_\infty)$, Sel. math., New Ser. **8** (2002), 537–635.

[14] E. Frenkel and E. Mukhin, *The q-characters at roots of unity*, Adv. Math. **171** (2002), 139–167.

[15] Q. Fu, *Affine quantum Schur algebras and affine Hecke algebras*, arXiv:1205.0708.

[16] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type* A_n, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, 67–85.

[17] J. A. Green, *Polynomial Representations of* GL_n, 2nd ed., with an appendix on Schensted correspondence and Littelmann paths by K. Erdmann, J. A. Green and M. Schocker, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 830, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[18] R. M. Green, *The affine q-Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 379–411.

[19] A. Hubery, *Three presentations of the Hopf algebra* $\mathcal{U}_v(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, preprint, 2009.

[20] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 153–215.

[21] G. Lusztig, *Modular representations and quantum groups,* Comtemp. Math. **82** (1989) 59–77.

[22] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.

[23] K. McGerty, *Generalized q-Schur algebras and quantum Frobenius*, Adv. Math. **214** (2007), 116–131.

[24] J. D. Rogawski, *On modules over the Hecke algebra of a p-adic group*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 443–465.

[25] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *On the decomposition matrices of the quantized Schur algebra*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 267–297.

[26] N. Xi, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1587. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[27] N. Xi, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras and based rings of affine Weyl groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), 211–217.

[28] A. V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II. On irreducible represnetations of* GL_n, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e Sér. **13** (1980), 165–210.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.
E-mail address: `q.fu@hotmail.com`